

CHU.24544

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
LUBBOCK DIVISION

KAREN DI PIAZZA, Individually and as §  
Mother to CORBIN JAEGER and as §  
Personal Representative of the Estate of §  
CORBIN LEE JAEGER, Deceased, §  
Plaintiff, §

VS. §

WEATHER GROUP TELEVISION, LLC §  
dba THE WEATHER CHANNEL, a §  
Georgia limited liability company; §  
WEATHER GROUP, LLC, a Delaware §  
limited liability company; CF §  
ENTERTAINMENT, INC. dba §  
ENTERTAINMENT STUDIOS, a California §  
corporation; ENTERTAINMENT STUDIOS §  
NETWORKS, INC., a California §  
corporation; ENTERTAINMENT STUDIOS §  
MEDIA, INC., a California corporation; §  
ENTERTAINMENT STUDIOS MEDIA §  
HOLDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation; §  
NBCUNIVERSAL MEDIA, LLC, a §  
Delaware limited liability company; BAIN §  
CAPITAL INVESTORS, LLC, a Delaware §  
limited liability company; THE §  
BLACKSTONE GROUP, INC., a Delaware §  
corporation; TV HOLDINGS 1, LLC, a §  
Delaware limited liability company; TV §  
HOLDINGS 2, LLC, a Delaware limited §  
liability company; TV SPINCO LLC, a §  
Delaware limited liability company; §  
SHEENA BITTLE as Personal §  
Representative of the Estate of KELLEY §  
GENE WILLIAMSON; KEITH DANIELS as §  
Personal Representative of the Estate of §  
RANDALL D. YARNALL, §  
Defendants. §

CIVIL ACTION NO.  
5-19CV0060-C

**SECOND AMENDED ANSWER OF DEFENDANTS WEATHER GROUP  
TELEVISION, LLC dba THE WEATHER CHANNEL AND WEATHER GROUP, LLC**

Defendants WEATHER GROUP TELEVISION, LLC dba THE WEATHER CHANNEL  
and WEATHER GROUP, LLC (“THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants”) file this, their

Second Amended Answer, responding to Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, and respond to the allegations contained in the specifically numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint as follows:

**I.**

**NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE ACTION**

1. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

2. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny any allegations indicating that Yarnall and Williamson were in the course and scope of any employment with THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants or that any manner of employer/employee relationship existed between THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants and Yarnall and Williamson. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants would allege that, at all times relevant, Yarnall and Williamson were acting as independent contractors with regard to any activities involving THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all other allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

3. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

**II.**

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants admit this Court has original jurisdiction over this action, but are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

5. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants admit venue is appropriate in this Court, but are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

### **III.** **PARTIES**

6. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants admit that a legal proceeding styled *In the Matter of the Estate of: CORBIN LEE JAEGER, Deceased*, Case No. PB2018-001588 was filed in the Superior Court of Maricopa County, Arizona, but are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

7. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny that Williamson and Yarnall were the employees and/or agents of the Weather Channel and were acting within the course and scope of that employment and/or agency at all times alleged in Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint as alleged in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny that Williamson and Yarnall were in any other relationship with THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants other than that of independent contractors.

8. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny that Williamson and Yarnall were the employees and/or agents of Weather Group, LLC and were acting within the course and scope of that employment and/or agency at all times alleged in Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint as alleged in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny that Williamson and Yarnall were in any other relationship with THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants other than that of independent contractors. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants admit that the Court dismissed all claims asserted against the Out-of-State Defendants without prejudice on September 9, 2019 following a Motion to

Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction and for Failure to State a Claim, but denies the remaining allegations contained in footnote 1 to Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

9. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

10. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

11. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

12. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

13. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

14. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

15. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

16. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

17. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

18. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

19. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

20. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

21. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

22. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

23. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

24. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

25. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it contains no allegations.

#### **IV.** **CHOICE OF LAW**

26. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, and request that the Court apply Texas substantive law, as opposed to Arizona law, which has no applicability to any issue in this matter.

V.

**ALLEGED FACTS PARTICULAR TO PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS**

27. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. Further, THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny any allegations contained in Paragraph 27 indicating that Williamson and Yarnall were at any time "working at THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants" or were "working for THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants" or that THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants were "operating TWC's mobile broadcasting studio" at any time prior to or at the time of the incident basis of suit.

28. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. Further, THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny any allegations contained in Paragraph 28 indicating that Williamson and Yarnall were engaged in a joint enterprise with THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants, or were being assisted by or under the direction of THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants, or were employees or agents of THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants.

29. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

30. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

31. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

32. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

33. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

34. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

35. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

36. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

37. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

38. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

39. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

40. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

41. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

42. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

43. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

44. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

45. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

46. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

47. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.



48. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

49. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

50. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

**VI.**  
**CAUSES OF ACTION**

**COUNT ONE:**  
**NEGLIGENCE AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE**

51. To the extent a response is required to Paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses set forth in the preceding paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully and reiterated here in their entirety.

52. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 52, including sub-parts a. through g., of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

53. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

**COUNT TWO:**  
**WRONGFUL DEATH**

54. To the extent a response is required to Paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses

set forth in the preceding paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully and reiterated here in their entirety.

55. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

56. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 56 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

57. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants admit that in a legal proceeding styled *In the Matter of the Estate of: CORBIN LEE JAEGER*, Deceased, Case No. PB2018-001588 in the Superior Court of Maricopa County, Arizona, an order dated March 21, 2018 stated that "Karen Di Piazza is appointed as personal representative without bond and Letters shall be issued upon the personal representative's accepting." THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

58. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

59. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 59 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

60. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 60 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

**COUNT THREE:**  
**SURVIVAL ACTION**

61. To the extent a response is required to Paragraph 61 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants reallege and incorporate their responses

set forth in the preceding paragraphs by reference as if set forth fully and reiterated here in their entirety.

62. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 62 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

63. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants admit that in a legal proceeding styled *In the Matter of the Estate of: CORBIN LEE JAEGER, Deceased*, Case No. PB2018-001588 in the Superior Court of Maricopa County, Arizona, an order dated March 21, 2018 stated that "Karen Di Piazza is appointed as personal representative without bond and Letters shall be issued upon the personal representative's accepting." THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 63 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

64. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 64 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

65. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 65 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

#### **DAMAGES – ALL DEFENDANTS**

66. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 66, including sub-parts a. through j., of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

67. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny all allegations contained in Paragraph 67 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint.

#### **CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

68. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 68 of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, and allege that a condition precedent has

not occurred, to wit: Plaintiff has not joined a necessary and indispensable party to this action, Lawrence Edward Jaeger. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants incorporate to this paragraph the allegations set forth hereinafter at Paragraph 87 the same as if set forth fully and reiterated here in their entirety.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY**

69. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants demand a trial by jury.

### **PRAYER**

THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants are not required to admit or deny the allegations contained in this section of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint because it is an affirmative request for relief from this Court. To the extent that any response is required, THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants deny the allegations contained in this section. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants pray that the Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint be dismissed in its entirety, that Plaintiff be denied all relief, that THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants be awarded their costs, and for such other relief to which they may be entitled.

### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

70. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that no conduct of THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants proximately caused Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any.

71. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that, at the time and on the occasion in question, Corbin Lee Jaeger ("Jaeger") was negligent and Jaeger's negligence was the proximate cause, alternatively a contributing proximate cause, of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit. Plaintiff may not recover any damages if Jaeger's percentage of responsibility is greater than 50%. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 33.001.

72. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that the acts or omissions of one or more persons or entities other than THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants, whether a party to this action or not and over whom THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants had no control or right of control, were the proximate cause or a contributing proximate cause of the occurrence in question and Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages, if any.

73. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that they are entitled to have the jury determine the percentage of responsibility of each defendant, each settling person, and each responsible third party pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 33.003 and to have THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants' liability, if any, reduced accordingly. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants specifically reserve their right, pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 33.004, to designate any responsible third parties that may be identified during the course of this litigation.

74. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that, pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 33.012, they are entitled to full credit and offset for the sum of the dollar amounts of any settlement(s) made by Plaintiff with any other defendant, settling person, or responsible third party (as those terms are defined in the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code).

75. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively assert and plead that in the unlikely event the jury finds them liable to Plaintiff, then these defendants reserve any and all rights they have for contribution against other persons or entities, both parties and non-parties, and reserve their rights under the common law and the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code including, but not limited to, their rights pursuant to TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. §§ 32.002 and 33.015.

76. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that they are entitled to all of the protections afforded them under Chapter 41 of the TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE & REMEDIES CODE.

77. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that if Plaintiff seeks recovery for loss of earnings, loss of earning capacity, or any loss of a pecuniary value, evidence to prove such loss, if any, must be presented in the form of a net loss after reduction for income tax payments or unpaid tax liability pursuant to any federal income tax law. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 18.091 (a) and (b).

78. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that Plaintiff must prove by clear and convincing evidence the elements of exemplary damages and the burden of proof may not be shifted to these Defendants or satisfied by evidence, if any, of ordinary negligence, bad faith, or a deceptive trade practice; and any jury verdict for exemplary damages must be unanimous. The Court should apply all provisions of TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.003, § 41.004, and § 41.006 in any trial of a claim for exemplary damages.

79. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that prejudgment interest may not be assessed or recovered on any award of exemplary damages, if any, in this cause. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.007.

80. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert the limitations or “caps” on any exemplary damages sought by Plaintiff. Specifically, Plaintiff’s claim for exemplary damages is limited by TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.008(b).

81. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that in a trial to a jury, the Court shall instruct the jury with regard to the evidence to be considered in

determining the amount of exemplary damages as provided by TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.011.

82. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that no grounds exist for holding them liable to Plaintiff for exemplary damages based on any alleged act or omission, if any, on the part of any alleged agent or employee of THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants.

83. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that Plaintiff may not obtain an award of exemplary damages against either of the THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants because of the criminal act of another. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.005. Alternatively, in the unlikely event that it is determined that a criminal act was committed by an employee of either of THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants, then THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively invoke TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.005(c).

84. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that, in the event that not all potential wrongful death and survival action claimants with respect to Jaeger have been made a party to this action, then this action must be abated until all such potential claimants have been joined to this action as parties.

85. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that, in the event that not all potential wrongful death and survival action claimants with respect to Jaeger have been made a party to this action, then the Court should order that all such claimants who have not been made a party to this action including, but not limited to, Lawrence Edward Jaeger, be made parties to this action in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 19. And, if any such claimants including, but not limited to, Lawrence Edward Jaeger, cannot be joined to this action as a party, then, in

equity and good conscience, this action should not proceed among the existing parties and should be dismissed in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 19(b) and 12(b)(7).

86. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that the Court should order Plaintiff to comply with FED. R. CIV. P. 19(c) and plead her reasons for not joining as a party to this action any potential wrongful death or survival action claimants with respect to Jaeger who have not been made a party to this action, including, but not limited to, Lawrence Edward Jaeger.

87. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that Plaintiff is not prosecuting claims in this action “on behalf of and for the benefit of all parties entitled” to bring causes of action for the death of Corbin Lee Jaeger, and that Plaintiff is not prosecuting any claim on behalf of and for the benefit of Lawrence Edward Jaeger who, Plaintiff has alleged in a legal proceeding styled *In the Matter of the Estate of: CORBIN LEE JAEGER*, Deceased, Case No. PB2018-001588 in the Superior Court of Maricopa County, Arizona, is the father of Corbin Lee Jaeger and survives him. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that Lawrence Edward Jaeger is a necessary and indispensable party, and any judgment rendered in this action that does not include disposition of the potential wrongful death claim of Lawrence Edward Jaeger shall be void as a matter of Texas law. Further, the failure of Plaintiffs to join Lawrence Edward Jaeger as a party subjects THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants to double, multiple, or otherwise inconsistent obligations because of the interest of Lawrence Edward Jaeger.

88. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert that the Texas Constitution bars the parent(s) of a deceased child from making any recovery for punitive or exemplary damages. TEX. CONST. ART. XVI, § 26.



89. THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants affirmatively plead and assert the paid or incurred limitation on recovery of medical or healthcare expenses that is imposed by TEX. CIV. PRAC & REM. CODE ANN. § 41.0105.

**WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED,** Defendants WEATHER GROUP TELEVISION, LLC dba THE WEATHER CHANNEL and WEATHER GROUP, LLC respectfully pray that upon final hearing hereof, Plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action, that THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants be awarded their costs, and for such other relief to which THE WEATHER CHANNEL Defendants may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Douglas D. Fletcher

**DOUGLAS D. FLETCHER**

Attorney in Charge

State Bar No.: 07139500

Email: [doug.fletcher@fletcherfarley.com](mailto:doug.fletcher@fletcherfarley.com)

**RICHARD G. MILLER**

State Bar No. 14107500

Email: [richard.miller@fletcherfarley.com](mailto:richard.miller@fletcherfarley.com)

**DAVID C. COLLEY**

State Bar No. 04583600

Email: [david.colley@fletcherfarley.com](mailto:david.colley@fletcherfarley.com)

**FLETCHER, FARLEY, SHIPMAN  
& SALINAS, L.L.P**

9201 N. Central Expressway, Suite 600

Dallas, Texas 75231

Telephone: 214/987-9600

Facsimile: 214/987-9866 FAX

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS WEATHER  
GROUP TELEVISION, LLC dba THE WEATHER  
CHANNEL AND WEATHER GROUP, LLC**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the foregoing instrument was electronically filed via the Court's CM/ECF system and a true and correct copy of same thereby was delivered to all counsel of record in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on the 16th day of October 2019.

/s/ Douglas D. Fletcher

**DOUGLAS D. FLETCHER**